

Management of Preexisting Diabetes in Pregnancy

A Review

Anastasia-Stefania Alexopoulos, MBBS; Rachel Blair, MD; Anne L. Peters, MD

IMPORTANCE The presence of preexisting type 1 or type 2 diabetes in pregnancy increases the risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, such as preeclampsia, cesarean delivery, preterm delivery, macrosomia, and congenital defects. Approximately 0.9% of the 4 million births in the United States annually are complicated by preexisting diabetes.

OBSERVATIONS Women with diabetes have increased risk for adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, and similar risks are present with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Both forms of diabetes require similar intensity of diabetes care. Preconception planning is very important to avoid unintended pregnancies and to minimize risk of congenital defects. Hemoglobin A_{1c} goals are less than 6.5% at conception and less than 6.0% during pregnancy. It is also critical to screen for and manage comorbid illnesses, such as retinopathy and nephropathy. Medications known to be unsafe in pregnancy, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and statins, should be discontinued. Women with obesity should be screened for obstructive sleep apnea, which is often undiagnosed and can result in poor outcomes. Blood pressure goals must be considered carefully because lower treatment thresholds may be required for women with nephropathy. During pregnancy, continuous glucose monitoring can improve glycemic control and neonatal outcomes in women with type 1 diabetes. Insulin is first-line therapy for all women with preexisting diabetes; injections and insulin pump therapy are both effective approaches. Rates of severe hypoglycemia are increased during pregnancy; therefore, glucagon should be available to the patient and close contacts should be trained in its use. Low-dose aspirin is recommended soon after 12 weeks' gestation to minimize the risk of preeclampsia. The importance of discussing long-acting reversible contraception before and after pregnancy, to allow for appropriate preconception planning, cannot be overstated.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Preexisting diabetes in pregnancy is complex and is associated with significant maternal and neonatal risk. Optimization of glycemic control, medication regimens, and careful attention to comorbid conditions can help mitigate these risks and ensure quality diabetes care before, during, and after pregnancy.

JAMA. 2019;321(18):1811-1819. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.4981

+ CME Quiz at
jamanetwork.com/learning

Author Affiliations: Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina (Alexopoulos); Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (Blair); Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles (Peters).

Corresponding Author: Anne L. Peters, MD, USC Clinical Diabetes Program, Keck School of Medicine of University of Southern California, 9033 Wilshire Blvd, Ste 406, Los Angeles, CA 90211 (annepete@med.usc.edu).

Section Editors: Edward Livingston, MD, Deputy Editor, and Mary McGrae McDermott, MD, Senior Editor.

Preexisting diabetes complicates 0.9% of pregnancies in the United States and increases the risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes.¹⁻³ Specific risks of uncontrolled diabetes in pregnancy include preeclampsia, congenital defects, preterm delivery, macrosomia, and stillbirth (**Box**).

With the increasing prevalence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes,^{4,5} clinicians require greater awareness of risks associated with diabetes in pregnancy and approaches to minimize these risks. Equal emphasis should be placed on aggressive care and glycemic optimization of pregnant women with preexisting type 1 and type 2 diabetes because rates of major congenital malformations, stillbirth, and neonatal mortality are similar between these 2 groups.⁶ However, type 2 diabetes confers a higher risk of perinatal mortality, whereas higher rates of diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and cesarean delivery are observed in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes.⁶

Fetal exposure to diabetes during pregnancy may also lead to long-term developmental programming in offspring and may manifest as higher rates of diabetes and obesity in adulthood,^{7,8} adverse cardiometabolic profiles,⁸⁻¹³ and greater risk of hospital admissions, medication use, and mortality.¹⁴ Evidence also suggests diabetes during pregnancy may have an influence on neurodevelopmental outcomes; offspring of mothers with diabetes may have lower long-term cognitive function with worse school performance^{15,16} as well as heightened risk of autism¹⁷ and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder¹⁸ compared with offspring of mothers without preexisting diabetes.

Appropriate planning and optimization of glycemic control prior to pregnancy can help mitigate risks associated with diabetes. The purpose of this review is to provide an evidence-based update to the management of preexisting diabetes in pregnancy.

Box. Odd Ratios (ORs) of Adverse Maternal and Child Outcomes in Women With Preexisting Diabetes in Pregnancy vs Women Without Diabetes**Maternal Outcomes, OR (95% CI)**

Preeclampsia: 3.48 (3.01-4.02)

Cesarean delivery: 3.52 (2.91-4.25)

Child Outcomes, OR (95% CI)

Noncardiac congenital defects: 2.34 (1.44-3.81)

Cardiac congenital defects: 4.64 (2.87-7.51)

Preterm delivery (<37 wk): 3.48 (3.06-3.96)

Stillbirth: 3.52 (3.19-3.88)

Macrosomia (fetal weight >4 kg): 1.91 (1.74-2.10)

Neonatal hypoglycemia: 26.6 (15.37-46.11)

Neonatal respiratory distress: 2.09 (1.55-2.83)

Neonatal jaundice: 2.82 (1.60-5.00)

Perinatal mortality: 3.39 (3.02-3.81)

ORs for congenital defects are derived from Correa et al,³ while the remaining ORs are adapted from Yu et al.²

Comprehensive diabetes care in pregnancy can be considered in the preconception, pregnancy, and postpartum stages.

Methods

We searched the PubMed database from January 1, 2000, to January 31, 2019, for English-language studies related to the management of preexisting diabetes in pregnancy. There are few randomized clinical trials of pregnant vs nonpregnant women, so, although such studies were included, the search was not limited to these studies. Guidelines of major professional societies, meta-analyses, and observational studies were also reviewed. Selected articles were mutually agreed upon by the authors.

Preconception

Preconception Counseling and Glycemic Targets

While rates of unintended pregnancy have decreased in recent years, nearly half of pregnancies in the United States are still unplanned.¹⁹ Appropriate prepregnancy planning is one of the most important steps in reducing the risk of birth defects for women with preexisting diabetes because organogenesis occurs very early in pregnancy. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) of less than 6.5% at conception, with a lower goal of less than 6% during pregnancy if it can be achieved without significant hypoglycemia.²⁰ Targets may be relaxed to less than 7% if hypoglycemia occurs at lower HbA_{1c} levels.²⁰ Discussions regarding the risks of congenital anomalies with unplanned pregnancy and the importance of effective contraception should be initiated at the onset of diabetes or puberty and continued thereafter. Long-acting reversible forms of contraception (LARC), such as implantable progestin or intrauterine devices, should be recommended as first-line therapy for women who do

Key Points

Question What are evidence-based approaches to managing preexisting diabetes in pregnancy?

Findings Management considerations vary depending on whether women are in the preconception, pregnancy, or postpartum stage. Optimization of glycemic control prior to pregnancy is a very important step, with a target hemoglobin A_{1c} of less than 6.5% at conception. Insulin is the cornerstone of pharmacotherapy for women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Attention to nutrition as well as comorbidities, including obesity, nephropathy, and hypertension, is essential.

Meaning Management of diabetes in pregnant women requires careful attention to glycemic control, medication regimens, and comorbidities and planning throughout all stages before, during, and after pregnancy.

not desire fertility in the near future because these are the most effective forms of contraception.^{21,22} Patients should alert their clinicians before ceasing contraception and, ideally, this step would be preceded by monthly meetings between the patient and care team to optimize glycemic control.

Women with diabetes should ideally be referred to a maternal-fetal medicine specialist (high-risk obstetrician) prior to conception. These specialists can counsel women on possible maternal and fetal complications and the need for intensified fetal surveillance during pregnancy.

Weight and Nutrition

Obesity is common in individuals with type 2 diabetes, with increasing prevalence in individuals with type 1 diabetes,²³ and represents an independent risk factor for congenital malformations, particularly cardiac defects.^{24,25} Efforts should be made to optimize weight in addition to glycemic control prior to conception. In a 2019 study by Persson et al,²⁵ the rate of aortic arch defects, atrial septal defect, and patent ductus arteriosus increased incrementally with maternal body mass index (BMI), and the rate of transposition of the great arteries was nearly double (adjusted prevalence rate ratio, 1.85 [95% CI, 1.11-3.08]) in mothers with BMI above 40 vs mothers with normal BMI. Furthermore, pregnant women who are obese are more likely to have comorbid illnesses that can affect outcomes, such as hyperlipidemia,^{26,27} hypertension,²⁸ and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA).^{29,30} OSA is particularly notable because it is often underdiagnosed,³¹ and the prevalence of OSA in pregnancy may be as high as 5% in Europe and 20% in the United States.³⁰ OSA has been linked to higher rates of gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, preterm birth, low infant Apgar scores, and greater need for neonatal intensive unit care.^{29,30} It is also correlated with worse glycemic profiles and insulin resistance.³² Therefore, clinicians should screen for OSA in overweight or obese women planning pregnancy, and treatment with continuous positive airway pressure should be initiated promptly for all confirmed cases.³³

All women with diabetes should be referred to a dietician prior to or early in pregnancy. Referral to a registered dietitian is particularly recommended for all women with overweight or obesity to generate a nutrition plan that accounts for pregestational weight and targets at least 5% to 10% loss of body weight prior to conception.³⁴

To prevent neural tube defects, prospective mothers should take at least 400 µg of folic acid daily for at least 1 month prior to conception.³⁵ They should also take 1000 mg of elemental calcium and 600 IU of vitamin D daily while pregnant to support bone health in the neonate^{36,37}; these nutrients can be prescribed in the form of a prenatal multivitamin and/or consumed via diet.

Diabetes Complications

Prior to pregnancy, women should be screened for complications of diabetes, including retinopathy and nephropathy. Diabetic retinopathy can worsen during pregnancy and with brisk improvement in glycemic control. Worsening of retinopathy with rapidly improved glycemic control is not well understood, although this phenomenon has been observed in nonpregnant populations and is often transient.³⁸ While pregnancy-induced retinopathy (or worsening of preexisting disease) is unlikely to be permanent, retinopathy progression can threaten vision during pregnancy. All women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes should undergo retinal examination prior to conception, ideally, (particularly women with preexisting diabetic retinopathy) or within the first trimester. Additional ophthalmologic monitoring during and after pregnancy will be guided by extent of the disease.²⁰

In terms of nephropathy, a urine albumin:creatinine ratio can be obtained for women with diabetes prior to pregnancy, although the standard measurement during pregnancy is a urine protein:creatinine ratio from a 24-hour urine collection. Women with nephropathy should be monitored by a multidisciplinary team that includes a maternal-fetal medicine physician and a nephrologist before and during pregnancy. Women with baseline nephropathy have heightened perinatal risk because they have greater risk of preeclampsia,^{39,40} preterm delivery, infants who are small for gestational age, and cesarean delivery.⁴⁰ Women with mild chronic kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate >60 mL/min/1.73 m²) are unlikely to have significant worsening of kidney disease during pregnancy.⁴⁰ In contrast, women with more severe kidney disease or with proteinuria can experience a decline in kidney function, particularly in the presence of uncontrolled hypertension. For women with end-stage renal disease, it may be helpful to delay pregnancy until after kidney transplantation because transplant recipients have a higher chance of successful pregnancies and fewer complications than women undergoing dialysis.⁴¹ Preexisting kidney disease also has important implications for preeclampsia monitoring because preeclampsia detection relies on urine protein screening. Therefore, it is critical to monitor blood pressure closely in the presence of diabetic nephropathy.

For women with chronic hypertension and diabetes, systolic blood pressure (BP) of 120 mm Hg and diastolic BP of 80 to 105 mm Hg are recommended by the ADA as reasonable targets to avoid impairment of fetal growth,²⁰ although there is controversy regarding accepted BP targets. In 2015, the CHIPS study⁴² demonstrated no difference in pregnancy loss or neonatal outcomes in pregnant women whose diastolic BP was targeted to 100 mm Hg vs 85 mm Hg, although there were more cases of severe hypertension (≥160/110 mm Hg) in the group with the higher BP target. Post hoc analyses of this trial revealed higher risk of pregnancy loss, preterm delivery, and low birth weight for the women who developed severe hypertension.⁴³ Additionally,

initiation of this "less tight" BP control before 28 weeks' gestation resulted in significantly higher rates of severe maternal hypertension as well as preterm delivery compared with this BP control after 28 weeks.⁴⁴ As a result of these studies, Canadian guidelines have adopted lower BP thresholds for antihypertensive initiation (start medication if diastolic BP >90 mm Hg and target BP <85 mm Hg).⁴⁵ Guidelines from the United Kingdom recognize end-organ damage as reason to consider lower BP goals (eg, diastolic BP <90 mm Hg).⁴⁶ The CHIPS study did not include any women with preexisting diabetes or proteinuria and only 6% of study participants had gestational diabetes, thus, these data are not generalizable to women with preexisting type 1 or type 2 diabetes.⁴² However, it is reasonable to consider women with preexisting diabetes at particularly high risk of poor outcomes related to hypertension because they are more likely to have baseline nephropathy. While this concern has yet to be reflected in ADA guidelines, it is sensible for clinicians to consider lower BP targets for pregnant women with diabetic nephropathy.

When treating women with hypertension, potentially teratogenic medications, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers, should be discontinued during pregnancy and alternative medications that are considered safe in pregnancy, such as labetalol, nifedipine, or clonidine, should be used to manage blood pressure.^{47,48} Studies have suggested that nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, such as diltiazem, decrease proteinuria and thus diltiazem could be considered for women with hypertension and proteinuria during pregnancy,⁴⁹ although there are limited studies of this agent in pregnancy.^{50,51}

Diabetes in pregnancy increases the risk of preeclampsia, so initiation of low-dose aspirin (60 mg to 150 mg daily; usual dose, 81 mg) is recommended between 12 and 28 (ideally before 16) weeks' gestation to reduce this risk.²⁰ Controversy exists regarding optimal dosing; several meta-analyses have found the reduction in preeclampsia to be greatest in women taking at least 100 mg of aspirin per day.^{52,53} While coronary artery disease (CAD) is uncommon in pregnancy, it is associated with high maternal mortality.²⁰ Therefore, clinicians should consider CAD risk factors (ie, advanced maternal age, chronic renal disease, hypertension, smoking, family history of premature CAD) and screen high-risk mothers with an electrocardiogram and/or exercise echocardiogram. Statins should be discontinued when planning pregnancy, although data suggest they are likely not teratogenic.²⁶

For women with type 1 diabetes who are planning for pregnancy, thyroid-stimulating hormone levels should be checked to screen for autoimmune thyroid disease.^{20,54}

During Pregnancy

Glucose Monitoring

Intensification of glucose monitoring can be a challenge for women who are pregnant. Women receiving multiple daily injections (MDI) of insulin are advised to monitor capillary glucose in the fasting, preprandial, and (1- or 2-hour) postprandial states and to undergo at least 7 glucose checks daily. Recommended targets are less than 95 mg/dL for fasting glucose, less than 140 mg/dL for 1-hour postprandial glucose, and less than 120 mg/dL for 2-hour postprandial glucose (Table 1).²⁰ Close glucose monitoring is essential to (1) ensure

Table 1. American Diabetes Association-Recommended Glycemic Targets in Pregnancy²⁰

Timing	Glycemic Target
Before Pregnancy	
HbA _{1c} , %	<6.5
During Pregnancy	
HbA _{1c} , %	<6.0
Glucose, mg/dL	
Fasting	≤95
1 h after eating	≤140
2 h after eating	≤120

Abbreviation: HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin A_{1c}.

SI conversion: To convert glucose to mmol/L, multiply by 0.0555.

glycemic goals are being met, (2) inform adjustments to the medication and nutrition plan, and (3) preempt and detect physiologic changes in insulin requirements during pregnancy.

Improvements in glycemic control and outcomes have been reported with use of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) systems as an adjunct to capillary glucose monitoring in pregnancy, although some data are conflicting.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸ As part of the 2017 multicenter CONCEPTT study, 215 pregnant women with type 1 diabetes were randomized to receive CGM or standard capillary blood glucose monitoring.⁵⁶ There was a small but significant between-group difference in the mean decrease in HbA_{1c} at 34 weeks' gestation (difference, 0.19% [95% CI, -0.34% to -0.03%]; *P* = .02) compared with HbA_{1c} at enrollment (mean HbA_{1c}, 7.43%), favoring CGM. CGM also led to higher "time in target" than in the standard monitoring group (68% vs 61%; *P* = .003) and lower incidence of newborns who were large for gestational age (odds ratio, 0.51 [95% CI, 0.28-0.90]; *P* = .0210).⁵⁶ This study had lower than expected CGM adherence in the intervention group, which may have contributed to the modest HbA_{1c} response to CGM. Nonetheless, the CONCEPTT trial highlights CGM as a useful tool in pregnancies complicated by preexisting diabetes, with the potential to improve neonatal outcomes. Limitations to consider with CGM include discomfort, sensor accuracy, and acetaminophen interference with certain sensors.⁵⁹

Insulin Requirements During Pregnancy

Women with preexisting diabetes are most sensitive to insulin during early stages of pregnancy. Close glucose monitoring is therefore essential to avoid hypoglycemia, which, in addition to altered consciousness, seizures, and maternal injury,⁶⁰ can lead to low birth weight.²⁰ This risk is particularly notable in patients with type 1 diabetes, who are typically more insulin sensitive than patients with type 2 diabetes and who are more likely to have hypoglycemic unawareness.⁶⁰ Glucagon is safe to administer during pregnancy, and close contacts to the patient should be taught administration in case of severe hypoglycemia.

As pregnancy progresses past 16 weeks' gestation, women with preexisting diabetes become more insulin resistant, and insulin needs may change on a weekly basis (Table 2), so close glucose monitoring is critical. Insulin requirements also may increase from pregnancy to pregnancy. Skajaa et al⁶¹ demonstrated incremental increases in daily insulin requirements of mothers with type 1 diabetes with increasing

Table 2. Typical Pattern of Insulin Requirements During Pregnancy^a

Stages of Pregnancy, wk	Insulin Requirements
0-9	Increase
9-14	Decrease
14-16	Low
16-37	At least double
37-40	Can decrease
Immediately after pregnancy	Can drop to half of prepregnancy needs

^a Adapted from Skajaa et al.⁶¹

parity, adjusted for age, BMI, and HbA_{1c}. Compared with women during their first pregnancy, gestational insulin requirements increased by 13% in women with 1 previous pregnancy, 20% for 2 previous pregnancies, and 36% for 3 to 4 previous pregnancies.⁶¹ Therefore, in multiparous women, it is reasonable to anticipate greater need for glucose control with successive pregnancies.

Although DKA occurs at a higher frequency in women with type 1 diabetes, all pregnant women with diabetes are predisposed to DKA because pregnancy promotes insulin resistance, accelerated lipolysis, and surplus of free fatty acids that can be shunted to ketone body production.⁶² High levels of human chorionic gonadotropin can lead to nausea and vomiting and thereby predispose women to DKA early in pregnancy. In contrast, insulin resistance and metabolic demands increase significantly by the third trimester, which can precipitate DKA via hyperglycemia and relative starvation.⁶² Additionally, a major reason for earlier acidosis in pregnancy is lower acid buffering capacity; women who are pregnant have respiratory alkalosis with compensatory metabolic acidosis and, thus, lower bicarbonate levels.⁶³ Pregnant women can develop DKA with normal glucose values, which may be partially attributed to glomerular hyperfiltration resulting in glucosuria,⁶⁴ so euglycemia should not provide false reassurance to patients and clinicians.⁶⁵ Women who are pregnant or planning pregnancy should be educated regarding ketone testing and supplied with urine or serum ketone testing supplies. Women (particularly with type 1 diabetes) should measure urine ketones after episodes of vomiting or inability to tolerate food or drink, when otherwise ill, or if glucose remains greater than 250 mg/dL after appropriate measures. Women with ketonuria should seek medical attention for prompt treatment to reduce maternal and neonatal risk.

Nutrition and Weight During Pregnancy

Even in nonobese women, weight gain exceeding recommended targets during pregnancy can be associated with worse perinatal outcomes, including macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, and neonatal hypoglycemia.⁶⁶ Thus, pregnancy requires close attention to food intake to ensure strict glycemic control and avoid excess weight gain. However, care should be taken to avoid inadequate carbohydrate intake, which can lead to starvation and ketosis in pregnancy. To minimize the risk of DKA, women are advised to consume adequate carbohydrates⁶²; a daily minimum of 175 g of carbohydrates is recommended for pregnant women by the Dietary Reference Intakes,²⁰ although nutrition plans should be individualized.

Approach to Insulin Management

Women with preexisting diabetes commonly require basal-bolus regimens to achieve glycemic targets. Specifically, women with

type 2 diabetes who are treated with diet alone, oral agents, or basal insulin will need education regarding intensive insulin management that may be necessary to achieve preconception targets or that will need to be implemented during pregnancy.

Insulin remains the cornerstone of therapy for pregnant women with diabetes because of glucose-lowering potency as well as demonstrated safety during pregnancy because insulin does not cross the placenta. Women are frequently switched to basal insulins detemir or neutral protamine Hagedorn during pregnancy because these have been more extensively studied than newer, basal insulin analogues. Short-acting/rapid-acting insulins regular, lispro, and aspart have also been well studied. There are reports of safe and successful pregnancies in women taking insulin glargine during pregnancy.⁶⁷ Because insulins glargine and degludec are unlikely to cross the placenta,⁶⁸ there is no compelling evidence to suggest that women should be switched off of these insulins when pregnant, particularly when they are already achieving excellent glycemic control.

MDI and insulin pump therapy, also called continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII), are both effective approaches in pregnancy. While insulin pumps offer obvious advantages in terms of flexibility of bolusing, there is insufficient evidence to recommend one method over the other.⁶⁹ However, if CSII is to be initiated, it should be started well before conception to allow women time to acclimate to the pump and ensure glycemic control before pregnancy. Also, women using CSII require a subcutaneous insulin plan in case of pump malfunction. A prespecified analysis of the CONCEPTT trial was to compare glycemic control and pregnancy outcomes in women with type 1 diabetes who were using MDI vs CSII at study inclusion. Researchers observed better glycemic outcomes, less gestational hypertension and neonatal hypoglycemia, and fewer neonatal intensive care unit admissions with MDI vs CSII, although women were not randomized to method of insulin delivery in this trial.⁷⁰

Closed-loop insulin delivery systems that integrate CGM data with CSII may hold promise in the management of diabetes in pregnancy but, currently, glucose targets are not customizable and are typically too high for pregnancy. For instance, the MiniMed 670G (Medtronic) insulin pump has an "auto-mode" commercial hybrid closed-loop system that utilizes an algorithm to target an average glucose of 120 mg/dL,⁷¹ a glucose value that is well above the fasting goal of less than 95 mg/dL in pregnancy, and thus likely not appropriate for use during pregnancy for most patients. Additionally, predictive low glucose suspend technology was recently approved for use in the t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Basal-IQ Technology (Tandem Diabetes Care), which is integrated with the Dexcom sensor. This technology predicts future glucose concentration and suspends insulin delivery if the predicted glucose in 30 minutes is less than 80 mg/dL or if the current glucose is less than 70 mg/dL.⁷² While predictive low glucose suspend technology protects against hypoglycemia, it also does not account for lower glucose goals in pregnancy. Despite the caveat of inflexible glucose targets, closed-loop systems may still result in comparable glycemic control with less hypoglycemia in some women,⁷³⁻⁷⁶ although larger studies are needed to draw conclusions regarding their routine use in pregnancy.

Noninsulin Medications

Oral agents are not recommended as first-line therapy for pregnant women with diabetes because they are typically not capable

of overcoming the insulin resistance of pregnancy in women with type 2 diabetes and are not effective in individuals with type 1 diabetes. Furthermore, metformin and sulfonylureas cross the placenta whereas insulin does not.

There remains significant controversy about the use of metformin among pregnant women. Per the ADA guidelines, women with type 2 diabetes who are prescribed metformin prior to pregnancy should be switched to insulin when they become pregnant.²⁰ However, many women with polycystic ovary syndrome and/or obesity continue receiving metformin through the first trimester, and not all professional organizations agree on the use of metformin during pregnancy.⁷⁷ Studies have shown an association of metformin treatment with less maternal weight gain, primarily in women with gestational diabetes^{20,78} as well as women with type 2 diabetes.⁷⁹ However, 2 studies that examined children of women who were treated with metformin during pregnancy suggest that metformin may have long-term effects on offspring.^{78,80} At 9 years of age, children of women with gestational diabetes who were exposed to metformin in utero had larger measures of subcutaneous fat compared with children exposed to insulin.⁷⁸ At 4 years of age, children of women with polycystic ovarian syndrome who were exposed to metformin had higher BMI and increased prevalence of obesity (32%) compared with children exposed to a placebo (18%).⁸⁰ Thus, it is possible that metformin has long-term effects on fetal and childhood development, perhaps due to its effects on mitochondrial respiration, growth inhibition, or cell metabolism and proliferation.⁷⁷ A randomized clinical trial is currently under way (the MiTy Trial; NCT01353391)⁸¹ to investigate perinatal and neonatal outcomes in pregnant women prescribed metformin vs placebo as an adjunct to insulin to manage type 2 diabetes. Additional studies will still be needed to determine long-term effects of metformin on offspring. For women who decline insulin, metformin can be continued, although the safety data and high likelihood of treatment failure necessitating insulin should be fully discussed with the patient.²⁰

Sulfonylureas are not recommended for pregnant women with preexisting diabetes. In a 2018 study by Sénat and colleagues,⁸² glyburide was compared with insulin in pregnant women with gestational diabetes and there was a failure to demonstrate noninferiority of glyburide for the composite outcome of macrosomia, neonatal hypoglycemia, and hyperbilirubinemia (outcome occurred in 23.4% of neonates born to women treated with insulin vs 27.6% of neonates born to women treated with glyburide).⁸² Sulfonylureas lack data to support their use in pregnancy and, in contrast to metformin, they tend to promote weight gain rather than weight stability.⁸³ Thiazolidinediones also contribute to weight gain and lack safety data regarding pregnancy.

Newer glucose-lowering agents, including dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists, and sodium glucose-cotransporter 2 inhibitors, are also not recommended in pregnancy because of a lack of safety data. Women who are prescribed these agents should be using effective contraception and counseled on cessation of these medications ideally 3 months prior to conception. Interestingly, the GLP-1 receptor agonist exenatide appears to cross the placenta in only negligible amounts.⁸⁴ Because fetal exposure to GLP-1 receptor agonists is likely to be low, clinicians should be cautious of abruptly stopping these agents without concurrent initiation

Table 3. Management Considerations for Preexisting Diabetes in Pregnancy

Diabetes Management	Before Conception	First Trimester	Second and Third Trimesters	After Pregnancy
Diagnostic Steps				
Laboratory studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HbA_{1c} Urine ACR or PCR TSH in women with type 1 diabetes 			
Clinical screenings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss contraception (ideally LARC) OSA screening in women with obesity Retinal examination Consider CAD screening if multiple risk factors are present 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close SMBG (7 times/d) with or without CGM Retinal examination if not done before pregnancy, and repeat evaluations as indicated 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss contraception (LARC)
Fetal assessment			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed anatomical survey via ultrasonography at 18-20 weeks' gestation Consider fetal echocardiography Evaluate fetal growth (third trimester) Formal fetal monitoring (often started at 32 weeks' gestation; nonstress test, biophysical profile) 	
Therapeutic Steps				
Nonpharmacologic interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weight optimization via lifestyle modifications Referral and follow-up with nutritionist to review diet with or without ICR 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lactation consultation Consider ongoing nutrition support
Pharmacologic interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Optimize glucose with HbA_{1c} goal <6.5% May require initiation of insulin in women with type 2 diabetes Stop noninsulin agents, including sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1RA, and SGLT-2 inhibitors^a Initiation of daily prenatal vitamin (≥400 mcg folic acid, 1000 mg elemental calcium, 600 IU vitamin D per day) Discontinue use of ACE inhibitors/ARBs and initiate use of accepted antihypertensive agents^b Stop use of statins 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initiate/titrate insulin (typically a period of increased insulin sensitivity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initiate 60 to 150 mg of aspirin per day (usual dose, 81 mg) started between 12 to 28 (ideally before 16) weeks' gestation to minimize the risk of preeclampsia Titrate insulin (typically a period of increased insulin resistance) Intravenous insulin typically administered during delivery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decrease insulin immediately after delivery because of high insulin sensitivity (up to 50% of the prepregnancy dose for women with type 1 diabetes, and consider stopping insulin for women with type 2 diabetes) Metformin is safe for women who are breastfeeding

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ACR, albumin:creatinine ratio; ARBs, angiotensin receptor blockers; CAD, coronary artery disease; CGM, continuous glucose monitoring; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin A_{1c}; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist; ICR, insulin:carbohydrate ratios; LARC, long-acting reversible contraception; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; PCR, protein:creatinine ratio; SGLT-2, sodium glucose cotransporter 2; SMBG, self-monitoring of blood glucose.

^a Metformin has been continued safely in some pregnancies, including in cases of women with polycystic ovarian syndrome, although there are insufficient data to recommend use during pregnancy.

^b Accepted antihypertensive agents for use during pregnancy include labetalol, hydralazine, methyldopa, and nifedipine.

of other therapy, such as insulin, because the risk of uncontrolled hyperglycemia may exceed fetal risk of the drug.

Fetal Monitoring and Delivery Planning

Women with diabetes who become pregnant require increased fetal monitoring (Table 3). Women should have a detailed anatomy scan at 18 to 20 weeks' gestation and fetal echocardiography can be considered (particularly if HbA_{1c} is >6.5%).²¹ Ultrasonography is commonly used to assess fetal growth in the third trimester, though a specific approach to timing and frequency has not been demonstrated as superior. Most clinicians obtain formal fetal monitoring, such as the nonstress test, the biophysical profile, or the modified biophysical profile, starting at 32 weeks' gestation (often once or twice weekly). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends delivery at 39 0/7 to 39 6/7 weeks' gestation in women without vascular complications and with well-controlled blood glucose values, but recommends earlier delivery at 36 0/7 to 38 6/7 weeks' gestation for women who have vascular complications or poor glycemic control.²¹

Postpartum

During delivery, most cases of diabetes are managed with intravenous insulin, although this is dependent on local institutional policies. Women become exquisitely sensitive to insulin with delivery of the placenta. Insulin requirements may decrease to as low as 50% of prepregnancy needs, particularly in patients with type 1 diabetes (Table 2). Therefore, it is prudent to administer 50% to 90% of prepregnancy insulin doses, and this decision can be guided by immediate postpartum glucose values, intravenous insulin needs, and food intake. It is helpful for outpatient clinicians to document prepregnancy insulin doses leading up to delivery.

Breastfeeding

Benefits of breastfeeding include loss of excess weight in mothers, infant bonding, and lower future risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes in offspring.^{85,86} Women who breastfeed are predisposed to hypoglycemia because carbohydrates are expelled into breast milk,

so insulin doses may need to be lowered during this time and/or women can be counseled to consume a snack with lactation to avoid hypoglycemia. An increase of 500 kcal per day from prepregnancy caloric intake is generally recommended for nonobese women who are breastfeeding.^{21,87}

Contraception

Most women do not plan on conceiving within 1 year of giving birth,⁸⁸ but fertility may return as soon as 6 weeks after delivery in women who are not exclusively breastfeeding. Thus, the immediate postpartum period represents an opportunity to initiate LARC before women return home and develop barriers to accessing effective contraception.⁸⁸ LARC is safe in the postpartum period and early initiation of progestogen does not appear to negatively affect glycemic control, breastfeeding, or infant growth.⁸⁸ For

women who do not plan to have children in the future or who have end-organ complications resulting in high-risk future pregnancies, tubal ligation can be considered as a permanent form of contraception.

Conclusions

Preexisting diabetes in pregnancy is complex and is associated with significant maternal and neonatal risk. Optimization of glycemic control, medication regimens, and careful attention to comorbid conditions by a multidisciplinary team that includes maternal-fetal medicine physicians, endocrinologists, ophthalmologists, and nutritionists can help mitigate these risks and ensure quality diabetes care before, during, and after pregnancy.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: April 3, 2019.

Author Contributions: Dr Peters had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Alexopoulos, Peters.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Alexopoulos, Blair.

Drafting of the manuscript: All authors.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Alexopoulos, Blair.

Supervision: Peters.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Peters reported grants from AstraZeneca, Dexcom, and MannKind and consulting for Abbott Diabetes Care, Eli Lilly, Livongo, MannKind, Medscape, Novo Nordisk, Omada Health, Sanofi and Zafgen. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health awards T32DK007012 (Dr Alexopoulos) and T32HL007609 (Dr Blair).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of the National Institutes of Health.

Submissions: We encourage authors to submit papers for consideration as a Review. Please contact Edward Livingston, MD, at Edward.livingston@jamanetwork.org or Mary McGrae McDermott, MD, at mdm608@northwestern.edu.

REFERENCES

1. Deputy NP, Kim SY, Conrey EJ, Bullard KM. Prevalence and changes in preexisting diabetes and gestational diabetes among women who had a live birth - United States, 2012-2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67(43):1201-1207. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6743a2
2. Yu L, Zeng XL, Cheng ML, et al. Quantitative assessment of the effect of pre-gestational

diabetes and risk of adverse maternal, perinatal and neonatal outcomes. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(37):61048-61056. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17824

3. Correa A, Gilboa SM, Besser LM, et al. Diabetes mellitus and birth defects. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;199(3):237.e1-237.e9. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2008.06.028</jrm>

4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Maps of trends in diagnosed diabetes and obesity. https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/slides/maps_diabetesobesity_trends.pdf. Published April 2017. Accessed January 27, 2019.

5. Mayer-Davis EJ, Dabelea D, Lawrence JM. Incidence trends of type 1 and type 2 diabetes among youths, 2002-2012. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(3):301. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1706291

6. Balsells M, García-Patterson A, Gich I, Corcoy R. Maternal and fetal outcome in women with type 2 versus type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2009;94(11):4284-4291. doi:10.1210/jc.2009-1231

7. Holmes VA, Young IS, Patterson CC, et al; Diabetes and Pre-eclampsia Intervention Trial Study Group. Optimal glycemic control, pre-eclampsia, and gestational hypertension in women with type 1 diabetes in the diabetes and pre-eclampsia intervention trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(8):1683-1688. doi:10.2337/dc11-0244

8. Dabelea D, Hanson RL, Lindsay RS, et al. Intrauterine exposure to diabetes conveys risks for type 2 diabetes and obesity: a study of discordant sibships. *Diabetes*. 2000;49(12):2208-2211. doi:10.2337/diabetes.49.12.2208

9. Lohse Z, Bytoft B, Knorr S, et al. Abnormal levels of adipokines in adolescent offspring of women with type 1 diabetes: results from the EPICOM study. *Metabolism*. 2017;72:47-56. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2017.04.002

10. Grunnet LG, Hansen S, Hjort L, et al. Adiposity, dysmetabolic traits, and earlier onset of female puberty in adolescent offspring of women with gestational diabetes mellitus: a clinical study within the Danish national birth cohort. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(12):1746-1755. doi:10.2337/dc17-0514

11. Vlachová Z, Bytoft B, Knorr S, et al. Increased metabolic risk in adolescent offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes: the EPICOM study. *Diabetologia*. 2015;58(7):1454-1463. doi:10.1007/s00125-015-3589-5

12. Pitchika A, Jolink M, Winkler C, et al. Associations of maternal type 1 diabetes with childhood adiposity and metabolic health in the offspring: a prospective cohort study. *Diabetologia*. 2018;61(11):2319-2332. doi:10.1007/s00125-018-4688-x

13. Kawasaki M, Arata N, Miyazaki C, et al. Obesity and abnormal glucose tolerance in offspring of diabetic mothers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0190676. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190676

14. Knorr S, Stochholm K, Vlachová Z, et al. Multisystem morbidity and mortality in offspring of women with type 1 diabetes (the EPICOM study): a register-based prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38(5):821-826. doi:10.2337/dc14-2907

15. Bytoft B, Knorr S, Vlachova Z, et al. Long-term cognitive implications of intrauterine hyperglycemia in adolescent offspring of women with type 1 diabetes (the EPICOM Study). *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39(8):1356-1363. doi:10.2337/dc16-0168

16. Knorr S, Clausen TD, Vlachová Z, et al. Academic achievement in primary school in offspring born to mothers with type 1 diabetes (the EPICOM Study): a register-based prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38(7):1238-1244. doi:10.2337/dc15-0223

17. Xiang AH, Wang X, Martinez MP, et al. Association of maternal diabetes with autism in offspring. *JAMA*. 2015;313(14):1425-1434. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.2707

18. Xiang AH, Wang X, Martinez MP, et al. Maternal gestational diabetes mellitus, type 1 diabetes, and type 2 diabetes during pregnancy and risk of ADHD in offspring. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(12):2502-2508. doi:10.2337/dc18-0733

19. Finer LB, Zolna MR. Declines in unintended pregnancy in the United States, 2008-2011. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(9):843-852. doi:10.1056/NEJMs1506575

20. American Diabetes Association. 14. Management of Diabetes in Pregnancy: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2019*. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(suppl 1):S165-S172. doi:10.2337/dc19-S014

21. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 201: Pregestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(6):e228-e248. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002960

22. Wu JP, Moniz MH, Ursu AN. Long-acting reversible contraception—highly efficacious, safe, and underutilized. *JAMA*. 2018;320(4):397-398. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.8877
23. Driscoll KA, Corbin KD, Maahs DM, et al; Advancing Care for Type 1 Diabetes and Obesity Network (ACTION). Biopsychosocial aspects of weight management in type 1 diabetes: a review and next steps. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2017;17(8):58. doi:10.1007/s11892-017-0892-1
24. Zhu Y, Chen Y, Feng Y, Yu D, Mo X. Association between maternal body mass index and congenital heart defects in infants: a meta-analysis. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2018;13(2):271-281. doi:10.1111/chd.12567
25. Persson M, Razaz N, Edstedt Bonamy AK, Villamor E, Cnattingius S. Maternal overweight and obesity and risk of congenital heart defects. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73(1):44-53. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.050
26. Karalis DG, Hill AN, Clifton S, Wild RA. The risks of statin use in pregnancy: a systematic review. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2016;10(5):1081-1090. doi:10.1016/j.jacl.2016.07.002
27. Shen H, Liu X, Chen Y, He B, Cheng W. Associations of lipid levels during gestation with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus: a prospective longitudinal cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(12):e013509. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013509
28. Hitti J, Sienas L, Walker S, Benedetti TJ, Easterling T. Contribution of hypertension to severe maternal morbidity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;219(4):405.e1-405.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2018.07.002
29. Bourjeily G, Danilack VA, Bublitz MH, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea in pregnancy is associated with adverse maternal outcomes: a national cohort. *Sleep Med*. 2017;38:50-57. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2017.06.035
30. Liu L, Su G, Wang S, Zhu B. The prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea and its association with pregnancy-related health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis [published September 25, 2018]. *Sleep Breath*. doi:10.1007/s11325-018-1714-7
31. Lecomte P, Criniere L, Fagot-Campagna A, Druet C, Fuhrman C. Underdiagnosis of obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes in France: ENTRED 2007. *Diabetes Metab*. 2013;39(2):139-147. doi:10.1016/j.diabet.2012.10.004
32. Farabi SS, Barbour LA, Heiss K, Hirsch NM, Dunn E, Hernandez TL. Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with altered glycemic patterns in pregnant women with obesity [published February 22, 2019]. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. doi:10.1210/jc.2019-00159
33. Chirakalwasan N, Amnakkittikul S, Wanitcharoenkul E, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure therapy in gestational diabetes with obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2018;14(3):327-336. doi:10.5664/jcsm.6972
34. Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, et al; Look AHEAD Research Group. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(7):1481-1486. doi:10.2337/dc10-2415
35. Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, Curry SJ, et al; US Preventive Services Task Force. Folic acid supplementation for the prevention of neural tube defects: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2017;317(2):183-189. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.19438
36. Nutrition during pregnancy. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists website. <https://www.acog.org/Patients/FAQs/Nutrition-During-Pregnancy?IsMobileSet=false>. Published February 2018. Accessed January 28, 2019.
37. ACOG Committee on Obstetric Practice. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 495: vitamin D: screening and supplementation during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;118(1):197-198. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e318227f06b
38. Feldman-Billard S, Larger É, Massin P; Standards for screening and surveillance of ocular complications in people with diabetes SFD study group. Early worsening of diabetic retinopathy after rapid improvement of blood glucose control in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Metab*. 2018;44(1):4-14. doi:10.1016/j.diabet.2017.10.014
39. Vestgaard M, Sommer MC, Ringholm L, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. Prediction of preeclampsia in type 1 diabetes in early pregnancy by clinical predictors: a systematic review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2018;31(14):1933-1939. doi:10.1080/14767058.2017.1331429
40. Zhang JJ, Ma XX, Hao L, Liu LJ, Lv JC, Zhang H. A systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes of pregnancy in CKD and CKD outcomes in pregnancy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;10(11):1964-1978. doi:10.2215/CJN.09250914
41. Shah S, Venkatesan RL, Gupta A, et al. Pregnancy outcomes in women with kidney transplant: metaanalysis and systematic review. *BMC Nephrol*. 2019;20(1):24. doi:10.1186/s12882-019-1213-5
42. Magee LA, von Dadelszen P, Rey E, et al. Less-tight versus tight control of hypertension in pregnancy. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(5):407-417. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1404595
43. Magee LA, von Dadelszen P, Singer J, et al; CHIPS Study Group. The CHIPS randomized controlled trial (control of hypertension in pregnancy study): is severe hypertension just an elevated blood pressure? *Hypertension*. 2016;68(5):1153-1159. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.07862
44. Pels A, Mol BWJ, Singer J, et al; CHIPS Study Group. Influence of gestational age at initiation of antihypertensive therapy: secondary analysis of CHIPS trial data (control of hypertension in pregnancy study). *Hypertension*. 2018;71(6):1170-1177. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10689
45. Butalia S, Audibert F, Côté AM, et al; Hypertension Canada. Hypertension Canada's 2018 Guidelines for the management of hypertension in pregnancy. *Can J Cardiol*. 2018;34(5):526-531. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2018.02.021
46. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Hypertension in pregnancy: diagnosis and management. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg107/chapter/1-Guidance#management-of-pregnancy-with-chronic-hypertension>. Published August 2010. Last updated January 2011. Accessed January 29, 2019.
47. Duley L, Meher S, Jones L. Drugs for treatment of very high blood pressure during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;(7):CD001449.
48. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 203: chronic hypertension in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;133(1):e26-e50. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000003020
49. Bakris GL, Weir MR, Secic M, Campbell B, Weis-McNulty A. Differential effects of calcium antagonist subclasses on markers of nephropathy progression. *Kidney Int*. 2004;65(6):1991-2002. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.00620.x
50. Khandelwal M, Kumanova M, Gaughan JP, Reece EA. Role of diltiazem in pregnant women with chronic renal disease. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2002;12(6):408-412. doi:10.1080/jmfm.12.6.408.412
51. Côté AM, Sauvé N. The management challenges of non-preeclampsia-related nephrotic syndrome in pregnancy. *Obstet Med*. 2011;4(4):133-139. doi:10.1258/om.2011.110001
52. Roberge S, Nicolaidis K, Demers S, Hyett J, Chaillet N, Bujold E. The role of aspirin dose on the prevention of preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;216(2):110-120.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.09.076
53. Roberge S, Bujold E, Nicolaidis KH. Aspirin for the prevention of preterm and term preeclampsia: systematic review and metaanalysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;218(3):287-293.e1. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2017
54. Alexander EK, Pearce EN, Brent GA, et al. 2017 Guidelines of the American Thyroid Association for the diagnosis and management of thyroid disease during pregnancy and the postpartum. *Thyroid*. 2017;27(3):315-389. doi:10.1089/thy.2016.0457
55. Murphy HR, Rayman G, Lewis K, et al. Effectiveness of continuous glucose monitoring in pregnant women with diabetes: randomised clinical trial. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a1680. doi:10.1136/bmj.a1680
56. Feig DS, Donovan LE, Corcoy R, et al; CONCEPT Collaborative Group. Continuous glucose monitoring in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes (CONCEPT): a multicentre international randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10110):2347-2359. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32400-5
57. Secher AL, Ringholm L, Andersen HU, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. The effect of real-time continuous glucose monitoring in pregnant women with diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(7):1877-1883. doi:10.2337/dc12-2360
58. Voormolen DN, DeVries JH, Sanson RME, et al. Continuous glucose monitoring during diabetic pregnancy (GlucOMOMS): a multicentre randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2018;20(8):1894-1902. doi:10.1111/dom.13310
59. Basu A, Veettil S, Dyer R, Peyser T, Basu R. Direct evidence of acetaminophen interference with subcutaneous glucose sensing in humans: a pilot study. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2016;18(suppl 2):S243-S247. doi:10.1089/dia.2015.0410
60. Nielsen LR, Pedersen-Bjergaard U, Thorsteinsson B, Johansen M, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. Hypoglycemia in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes: predictors and role of metabolic control. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(1):9-14. doi:10.2337/dc07-1066
61. Skajaa GO, Fuglsang J, Kampmann U, Ovesen PG. Parity increases insulin requirements in

- pregnant women with type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;103(6):2302-2308. doi:10.1210/jc.2018-00094
62. Sibai BM, Viteri OA. Diabetic ketoacidosis in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;123(1):167-178. doi:10.1097/AOG.000000000000060
63. Kamalakannan D, Baskar V, Barton DM, Abdu TA. Diabetic ketoacidosis in pregnancy. *Postgrad Med J*. 2003;79(934):454-457. doi:10.1136/pmj.79.934.454
64. Cheung KL, Lafayette RA. Renal physiology of pregnancy. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis*. 2013;20(3):209-214. doi:10.1053/j.ackd.2013.01.012
65. Modi A, Agrawal A, Morgan F. Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis: a review. *Curr Diabetes Rev*. 2017;13(3):315-321. doi:10.2174/157339981266616042112307
66. Kominiarek MA, Saade G, Mele L, et al; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (MFMU) Network. Association between gestational weight gain and perinatal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(4):875-881. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002854
67. Lambert K, Holt RI. The use of insulin analogues in pregnancy. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013;15(10):888-900. doi:10.1111/dom.12098
68. Hedrington MS, Davis SN. The care of pregestational and gestational diabetes and drug metabolism considerations. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2017;13(10):1029-1038. doi:10.1080/17425255.2017.1372423
69. Farrar D, Tuffnell DJ, West J. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion versus multiple daily injections of insulin for pregnant women with diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007;(3):CD005542.
70. Feig DS, Corcoy R, Donovan LE, et al; CONCEPTT Collaborative Group. Pumps or multiple daily injections in pregnancy involving type 1 diabetes: a prespecified analysis of the CONCEPTT randomized trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(12):2471-2479. doi:10.2337/dc18-1437
71. Messer LH, Forlenza GP, Sherr JL, et al. Optimizing hybrid closed-loop therapy in adolescents and emerging adults using the MiniMed 670G System. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(4):789-796. doi:10.2337/dc17-1682
72. Forlenza GP, Li Z, Buckingham BA, et al. Predictive low-glucose suspend reduces hypoglycemia in adults, adolescents, and children with type 1 diabetes in an at-home randomized crossover study: results of the PROLOG trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(10):2155-2161. doi:10.2337/dc18-0771
73. Stewart ZA, Wilinska ME, Hartnell S, et al. Day-and-night closed-loop insulin delivery in a broad population of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes: a randomized controlled crossover trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(7):1391-1399. doi:10.2337/dc17-2534
74. Murphy HR, Kumareswaran K, Elleri D, et al. Safety and efficacy of 24-h closed-loop insulin delivery in well-controlled pregnant women with type 1 diabetes: a randomized crossover case series. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(12):2527-2529. doi:10.2337/dc11-1430
75. Murphy HR, Elleri D, Allen JM, et al. Closed-loop insulin delivery during pregnancy complicated by type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(2):406-411. doi:10.2337/dc10-1796
76. Stewart ZA, Wilinska ME, Hartnell S, et al. Closed-loop insulin delivery during pregnancy in women with type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(7):644-654. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1602494
77. Barbour LA, Scifres C, Valent AM, et al. A cautionary response to SMFM statement: pharmacological treatment of gestational diabetes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;219(4):367.e1-367.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2018.06.013
78. Rowan JA, Rush EC, Obolonkin V, Battin M, Woules T, Hague WM. Metformin in gestational diabetes: the offspring follow-up (MiG TOFU): body composition at 2 years of age. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(10):2279-2284. doi:10.2337/dc11-0660
79. Ainnuddin JA, Karim N, Zaheer S, Ali SS, Hasan AA. Metformin treatment in type 2 diabetes in pregnancy: an active controlled, parallel-group, randomized, open label study in patients with type 2 diabetes in pregnancy. *J Diabetes Res*. 2015;2015:325851. doi:10.1155/2015/325851
80. Hanem LGE, Stridsklev S, Júlíusson PB, et al. Metformin use in PCOS pregnancies increases the risk of offspring overweight at 4 years of age: follow-up of two RCTs. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;103(4):1612-1621. doi:10.1210/jc.2017-02419
81. Feig DS, Murphy K, Asztalos E, et al; MiTy Collaborative Group. Metformin in women with type 2 diabetes in pregnancy (MiTy): a multi-center randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16(1):173. doi:10.1186/s12884-016-0954-4
82. Sénat MV, Affres H, Letourneau A, et al; Groupe de Recherche en Obstétrique et Gynécologie (GROG). Effect of glyburide vs subcutaneous insulin on perinatal complications among women with gestational diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2018;319(17):1773-1780. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.4072
83. Hemmingsen B, Schroll JB, Wetterslev J, et al. Sulfonylurea versus metformin monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials and trial sequential analysis. *CMAJ Open*. 2014;2(3):E162-E175. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20130073
84. Hiles RA, Bawdon RE, Petrella EM. Ex vivo human placental transfer of the peptides pramlintide and exenatide (synthetic exendin-4). *Hum Exp Toxicol*. 2003;22(12):623-628. doi:10.1191/0960327103ht402oa
85. Kaul P, Bowker SL, Savu A, Yeung RO, Donovan LE, Ryan EA. Association between maternal diabetes, being large for gestational age and breast-feeding on being overweight or obese in childhood. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(2):249-258. doi:10.1007/s00125-018-4758-0
86. Martens PJ, Shafer LA, Dean HJ, et al. Breastfeeding initiation associated with reduced incidence of diabetes in mothers and offspring. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;128(5):1095-1104. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001689
87. Kiley JW, Hammond C, Niznik C, Rademaker A, Liu D, Shulman LP. Postpartum glucose tolerance in women with gestational diabetes using levonorgestrel intrauterine contraception. *Contraception*. 2015;91(1):67-70. doi:10.1016/j.contraception.2014.08.004
88. Heller R, Cameron S, Briggs R, Forson N, Glasier A. Postpartum contraception: a missed opportunity to prevent unintended pregnancy and short inter-pregnancy intervals. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care*. 2016;42(2):93-98. doi:10.1136/jfprhc-2014-101165